(1)

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

091401 MAY 17 2010

No. _____ OFFICE OF THE CLERK

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

LAURA SYLVETTE SOLWAY,
Petitioner,

Vs.

BARACK OBAMA, ET AL
Respondent.

On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari
To the United States Court of Appeals
For the Ninth Circuit

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Laura Solway
Petitioner, pro se
14526 Interlake Ave N
Shoreline, WA 98133-6240
206-365-6139 phone/fax

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Should this case about electronic surveillance be remanded back to the District Court for trial?

2. Should Intelligence Officer John Doe have his location and identity revealed and should he be given immunity?

3. Should Laura Solway be awarded relief per 50 U.S.C. 36 I Sec. 1810 for civil liability?

PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDING

Petitioner:
Laura Sylvette Solway
14526 Interlake Ave N
Shoreline, WA 98133-6240

Respondents:
Barack Obama, President of the United States
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Ave NW
Washington, DC 20500

Robert Gates, Secretary of Defense
The Joint Staff Pentagon
Washington, DC 20318-7000

Michael Rogers, Director for Intelligence
The Joint Staff Pentagon J-2
Washington, DC 20318-7000

John Doe, Unnamed Intelligence Officer assigned to
Laura Solway working under Michael Rogers
C/o Michael Rogers, Director for Intelligence
The Joint Staff Pentagon J-2
Washington, DC 20318-7000

TABLE OF CONTENTS

QUESTIONS PRESENTED ---------- i

PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDING -- ---------- ii

TABLE OF CONTENTS ---------- iii

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES ---------- iv

JURISDICTION ---------- 1

STATEMENT OF THE CASE ---------- 2

CONCLUSION ---------- 6

APPENDIX

A. ORDER, (3/10/10) United States Court of Appeals For the Ninth Circuit, Case No. 10-35089 -App. 1

B. ORDER, (2/05/10) United States Court of Appeals For the Ninth Circuit, Case No. 10-35089 -App. 2

C. NOTICE OF APPEAL (1/27/10) United States District Court Western District of Washington At Seattle Case No. 2:09-cv-01798-RSM ---------- App. 3

D. ORDER OF DISMISSAL(1/19/10) United States District Court Western District of Washington At Seattle Case No. 2:09-cv-01798-RSM ---------- App. 4-5

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

50 U.S.C. 36 I Sec. 1810 ---------- i, 7

28 U.S.C. IV 81 Sec. 1254(1) ----------1

28 U.S.C. VI 171 Sec. 2671 ----------1

50 U.S.C. 36 I Sec. 1801(f)(4).- ----------2

50 U.S.C. 36 V Sec. 1871 ---------- 5

50 U.S.C. 36 I Sec. 1802(a)(2,3) ----------5

50 U.S.C. 36 I Sec. 1804(c)(1)(A) ----------5

50 U.S.C. 36 I Sec. 1805bI(d) ----------5

50 U.S.C. 36 I Sec. 1807 ---------- 5

50 U.S.C. 36 I Sec. 1808 ---------- 5

King County District Court Shoreline Washington, East Division 206-205-9200

Y2-344 and Y3-338* on CD at back of 3 filed binders

*8/31/2002-12/30/2003
600+ Page chronicle of me being tortured

JURISDICTION

The Supreme Court has jurisdiction to review the judgment of the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit pursuant to 28 U.S.C. IV 81 Sec. 1254(1).

"...The term "Federal agency" includes the executive departments, the judicial and legislative branches, the military departments..." 28 U.S.C. VI 171 Sec. 2671.
I am suing the military, a federal agency, and as you can see by this quote the military can be sued.

""Employee of the government" includes (1) officers or employees of any federal agency, members of the military..." 28 U.S.C. VI 171 Sec. 2671(1)

""Acting within the scope of his office or employment", in the case of a member of the military or naval forces of the United States or a member of the National Guard as defined in section 101 (3) of title 32, means acting in line of duty."
28 U.S.C. VI 171 Sec. 2671

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The following essay is based on my personal, first person experience. It is what I have learned from being a target of satellite-based electronic surveillance weapons. The Intelligence branch of the military relies upon a secret, satellite-based weapon. From thousands of miles away an Intelligence Officer in the military, sitting at his computer console, can hear you think, change your thought patterns, and manipulate your body to experience various symptoms of torture, death or simple communication.

'"Electronic Surveillance" means—the installation or use of an electronic, mechanical, or other surveillance device in the United States for monitoring to acquire information, other than from a wire or radio communication, under circumstances in which a person has a reasonable expectation of privacy and a warrant would be required for law enforcement purposes." 50 U.S.C. 36 I Sec. 1801(f)(4).

The Intelligence Officer, sitting at his computer console, with an up-link to the satellites, does more than just listen, he implants thoughts (like insert, delete or repeat computer functions) in his target's brain. The target's own thoughts magnified out of proportion, he cannot discern the difference between his normal train of thought and his own regurgitated material. He acts upon material planted in his brain. It feels so right and normal, but it is not.

The process can be amplified by the creation of various 'signals' felt physically on his body. The Intelligence Officer can subject the target to torture such as itchy needles and pins, humming ears, twitching extremities and gross bodily movement, excruciating pain, splitting headaches, hot flashes, bone aches to sleep deprivation, severe sleep deprivation to suicide, racing heart to a heart attack or focus on the brain for a stroke.

Magically using invisible rays the Intelligence

Officer can hear the target think as if it were a real-time conversation. He can hear the target think, even when driving, going through tunnels, under cement buildings and flying in airplanes. A spotlight of invisible rays follows the target around, even in airplanes.

The Intelligence officer controls limbs and various internal body organs. He can listen to the target think and
implant imperceptible orders and commands in the brain of the target. If you can think of a bodily symptom it can be created. If the Intelligence officer can do this to the least of humanity he can also work his magic on the best of us. I am not alone. The allure of practicing on America's own heads of state must be too intense to resist.

View my website MindControlUSA.Com. These postings dramatically show my body being manipulated by satellite transmission and show me communicating with my Intelligence Officer via satellite transmission. You will notice my body twitching and jerking in spasm and my head nodding yes and no. That is the satellite at work. Naturally someone is on the other end of the line and I speak of it in the video. It is not possible to graphically demonstrate my thoughts being listened to, you have to take my word for it. I am a victim of this advanced remote-detection satellite technology.

I have been controlled for eight years by one of these Intelligence Officers. He sits at a computer console and uses satellites to track and interact with me. It is a bizarre, obscure secret program. According to communications with my Intelligence Officer it is the centerpiece of the United States foreign policy. He wants to demystify the program and make it's operations public in a court of law because of the egregious evils undertaken and planned for under veil of secrecy. According to communications with my Intelligence Officer he is a severely disabled vet, as are a number of operators recruited into the program.

It is not just my life, it is the lives of thousands of other targeted individuals (TI's) who are also victims of electronic surveillance, (i.e.: Internet group Freedom from Covert Harassment and Surveillance (FFCHS).) Mind control electronic surveillance is used for more than torturing and killing countless anonymous people, it is used to commit acts of terrorism disguised by the use of primary and secondary puppet-like drones called zombies.

To name a few, the most recent act of terrorism perpetuated by the Intelligence branch of the military was the 2009 airplane Christmas day underwear bomber. The 2007 Virginia Tech massacre where over 30 people were killed; the 1999 Columbine High School massacre where 13 people were killed. The list goes on and on. The killing of innocent G.I.'s in Iraq by Improvised Explosive Devices (IED's) is a crime that still goes on perpetuated by the Intelligence branch of the military. The zombies are the small groups of Iraqi citizens called militants.

Heads of state are also mind controlled by the Intelligence branch of the military. The United States' most vocal adversaries have their minds and mouths run by the United States itself. To name a few, Venezuela's Hugo Chavez, Iran's Mahmoud Ahmadinejad and North Korea's Kim Jong-il all have their rhetoric fueled by the mind controlled satellite electronic transmission. It is called mind control for good reason. It is the Intelligence Officers who are assigned to various individuals and they are each alone uniquely responsible for what their zombie does.

That means the people who commit these crimes, the zombies, are innocent. They are puppets run by their puppet masters. I am a zombie and my Intelligence Officer wants IMMUNITY and the opportunity to speak in a court of law about the mind control program and what is being planned for the future on the world stage. He is a good guy. He tried

to kill me. He also torments me continuously day and night. While his co-workers blow things up and have shoot-outs he has spent four years trying to get me an attorney. I guess that is because he has a lot on his mind.

Chief Justice John Roberts along with Attorney General Eric Holder and the Director of National Intelligence Dennis Blair are in charge of secret judicial orders approving the use of electronic surveillance. They work with a small group of Presidential Cabinet members, Congressional committees and the FISC (FISA) courts.

Specifically they work with the President of the United States, the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of State, the FBI, the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives, the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate, the Committees on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives and the Senate, the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court (FISC) and the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court of Review. 50 U.S.C. 36 V Sec. 1871 and 50 U.S.C. 36 I Secs. 802(a)(2,3), 1804(e)(1)(A), 1805b(c)(d), 1807 & 1808. This is ultimately a Supreme Court decision about the separation of powers. Can the executive branch hide torturers and murders behind a bureaucracy?

Electronic surveillance is satellite-based and used against people worldwide. These mind control electronic systems are weapons of war. Electronic surveillance weapons cause mind control, torture, murder and acts of terrorism wherever they are deployed.

Chief Justice John Roberts approves of the use of electronic weapons in the course of his work as Chief Justice. The leaders of all three branches of the United States, the Executive, the Judicial and the Legislative, are aware of the potency of the electronic surveillance systems

and approve of their use. It is an integral part of the power, privilege and prestige of their jobs. The Intelligence branch of the military carries out the duties intrinsic to accomplishing the tasks of torture, murder and terroristic mayhem. Electronic surveillance is an integral part of the United State's foreign policy. That is why the Secretary of State plays a role in it's deployment.

Foreign heads of state are perfect targets for the mind control component of electronic surveillance. They can be made to think and say whatever their Intelligence Officer wants them to say and do. The United States now controls all foreign heads of state. Plans are underway to set various heads of state off against each other. The ensuing conflict then is to escalate to war and nuclear capable countries then bomb each other into oblivion. The United States appears to be a neutral, if concerned, by-stander when in actuality the United States started the conflict with their mind control electronic surveillance. Meanwhile, the various countries continue to escalate the nuclear conflagration into more direct attacks upon each other. It is brilliant, if not appalling. It depends on which side you are on. The Intelligence branch of the military is actively agitating for nuclear war in most of the world.

This essay is a message from an Intelligence Officer deep within the department of the Intelligence branch of the military. I, Laura Solway, am a zombie, controlled by my Intelligence Officer. He controlled me into sending this message.

CONCLUSION

Electronic surveillance weapons are weapons of war. They are being used to plan and perpetuate a very big war. You who are reading this are in a unique position to dismantle the Intelligence branch of the military by allowing

a trial to go forward and allowing my Intelligence Officer to speak on the record with grant of immunity about his line of work. Yes, Laura Solway should be granted relief. 50 U.S.C. 36 I Sec. 1810. This case should move forward and not be thrown out of court. Yes, the District Court does have subject-matter jurisdiction; and yes, the questions the Ninth Circuit court is looking at are not insubstantial.

For the above stated reasons, this petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

DATED_____Of _____2010.

Respectfully submitted

_____

Laura Solway, pro se
14526 Interlake Ave N
Shoreline, WA 98133-6240
206-365-6139 phone/fax

APPENDIX

FILED MAR 10 2010
MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

LAURA SYLVETTE SOLWAY,	)	No 10-35089
	)	
Plaintiff – Appellant	)	D.C. No.
	)	2:09-cv-01798-RSM
	)	Western District of
v.	)	Washington, Seattle
	)	
	)	
BARACK H. OBAMA, President of	)	ORDER
The United States; et al.,	)	
Defendants-Appellees.	)	
_____	)	

Before SCHROEDER, PREGERSON and LEAVY, Circuit Judges.

A review of the record, the response to the February 5, 2010 order to show cause, and the opening brief indicates that the questions raised in this appeal are so insubstantial as not to require further argument. *See United States v. Hooton*, 693 F.2d 857, 858 (9th Cir. 1982)(per curiam) (stating standard). Accordingly, we summarily affirm the district court's judgment.

AFFIRMED

FILED FEB 05 2010
MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

LAURA SYLVETTE SOLWAY,	)	No 10-35089
	)	
Plaintiff – Appellant	)	D.C. No.
	)	2:09-cv-01798-RSM
	)	Western District of
v.	)	Washington, Seattle
	)	
BARACK H. OBAMA, President of	)	ORDER
The United States; et al.,	)	
Defendants-Appellees.	)	
	)	

A review of the record demonstrates that this appeal may be appropriate for summary disposition because the questions on which the decision in the appeal depends may be so insubstantial as not to justify further proceedings. *See* 9th Cir. R. 3-6. Within 21 days from entry of this order, appellant shall show cause why the judgment in this appeal should not be summarily affirmed. Appellant's failure to comply with this order shall result in the automatic dismissal of this appeal by the Clerk for failure to prosecute. 9th Cir. R. 42-1.

FOR THE COURT:
Molly C. Dwyer
Clerk of Court

Joe Williams
Deputy Clerk

RICARDO S. MARTINEZ
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

LAURA SYLVETTE SOLWAY,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	Case No.
	)	C09 – 1798-RSM
	)	
v.	)	NOTICE OF
	)	APPEAL
BARACK H. OBAMA, President of	)	
The United States; et al.	)	
Defendants	)	
	)	

Notice is hereby given that Laura Sylvette Solway, Plaintiff in the above named case, hereby appeals to the United State Court of Appeals for the 9th Circuit from a Docket #13 ORDER OF DISMISSAL for lack of subject matter jurisdiction, entered in this action on the 19th day of January 2010.

DATED 27th day of January 2010.

/s/ Laura Solway
Laura Solway, pro se
14526 Interlake Ave N
Shoreline, WA 98133-6240
206-365-6139

RICARDO S. MARTINEZ
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

LAURA SYLVETTE SOLWAY,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	Case No.
	)	C09 - 1798-RSM
	)	
v.	)	ORDER OF
	)	DISMISSAL
BARACK H. OBAMA, President of The United States; et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
	)	

This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff's Response to the Court's Order to Show Cause (Dkt. #8). Noting that "[t]here does not appear to be any basis for this Court's jurisdiction in this matter," the Court ordered Plaintiff to show cause why this case should not be dismissed and her costs refunded (Dkt. #7 at 2).

In her Response, Plaintiff failed to identify any federal statute or other basis for jurisdiction. "[T]he rule, springing from the nature and limits of the judicial power of the United States, is inflexible and without exception which requires this court, of its own motion, to deny its own jurisdiction,...in all cases where such jurisdiction does not affirmatively appear in the record on which, in the exercise of that power, it is called to act." *Mansfied C. & L.M. Ry. Co. v. Swan*, 111 U.S. 379, 382 (1884). Accordingly, this

action is dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. Plaintiff's filing fee shall be refunded.

Having reviewed the relevant pleadings, the declarations and exhibits attached thereto, and the remainder of the record, the Court hereby finds and ORDERS:

(1) This action is dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. This case is closed.
(2) The Clerk shall refund Plaintiff's filing fee.
(3) All other pending motions are STRICKEN AS MOOT.
(4) The Clerk is directed to forward a copy of this Order to all counsel of record.

DATED this 19th day of January, 2010.

/s/ Ricardo S. Martinez
RICARDO S. MARTINEZ
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE